

Customer No.: 31561  
Application No.: 10/604,883  
Docket No.: 10766-US-PA

**REMARKS**

**Present Status of the Application**

The Office Action rejected claims 2, 9, 13, 16, 17, and 20 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicants have amended claims 2, 13, 16, and 17 to improve clarity.

**Allowable Subject Matter**

Claims 1, 3-8, 10-12, 14-15, and 18-19 are allowed. Applicants appreciate the allowance that the Examiner made to claims 1, 3-8, 10-12, 14-15, and 18-19. While allowed claims 1, 3-8, 10-12, 14-15, and 18-19 remain unchanged, the allowance made thereto is also solicited to be remained.

**Discussion of Office Action Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 112**

1. The Office Action rejected claims 2 and 13 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In response to the rejection to claims 2 and 13, Applicants have add a limitation "relative to a horizontal" in claims 2 and 13 so as to more explicitly

Customer No.: 31561  
Application No.: 10/604,883  
Docket No.: 10766-US-PA

describe the angle of the oblique evaporation. The support can be found in paragraph [0021] and figure 1B. As such, Applicant submits that claims 2 and 13 are now in condition for allowance.

2. The Office Action rejected claims 9 and 20 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejections for at least the reasons set forth below.

In response to the rejection to claims 9 and 20, Applicants have amended the phrase "size" into "diameter". As such, Applicant submits that claims 2 and 13 are now in condition for allowance.

3. The Office Action rejected claims 16 and 17 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In response to the rejection to claims 16 and 17, Applicants have amended claims 16 and 17 to dependent from claim 15. As such, Applicant submits that claims 16 and 17 are now in condition for allowance.

For at least the foregoing reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that all presently pending claims 1-20 patently define over the prior art references, and should be allowed.

Customer No.: 31561  
Application No.: 10/604,883  
Docket No.: 10766-US-PA

**CONCLUSION**

For at least the foregoing reasons, it is believed that the pending claims 1-20 are in proper condition for allowance and an action to such effect is earnestly solicited. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would expedite the examination of the above-identified patent application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

Date : May 30, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

  
Belinda Lee

Registration No.: 46,863

Jianq Chyun Intellectual Property Office  
7<sup>th</sup> Floor-1, No. 100  
Roosevelt Road, Section 2  
Taipei, 100  
Taiwan  
Tel: 011-886-2-2369-2800  
Fax: 011-886-2-2369-7233  
Email: [belinda@jcipgroup.com.tw](mailto:belinda@jcipgroup.com.tw)  
[Usa@jcipgroup.com.tw](mailto:Usa@jcipgroup.com.tw)